

MANAGEMENT TEAMWORK

DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH



DIRECTORS: M. DAVIDMANN, B.Sc. R. L. DUBOW, M. A.

60, DRAYTON GARDENS, LONDON, N.21
TELEPHONE: 01-360 7306

MANAGEMENT TEAMWORK: DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

M. DAVIDMANN

Management finds itself more and more concerned with problems of organisation and teamwork. Such problems need to be tackled consistently and this report shows how organisation can be made more effective, how to lay the basis for sound teamwork. It is illustrated with reference to process plant contracting where many specialists have to work together, where cost, delivery and plant performance matter.

The technique is called 'Management Teamwork', abbreviated to MTW for ease of reference.

The report gives requirements for effective organisation. MTW (Management Teamwork) technique is described and illustrated, including the working-through of problems to the solution. The functions of design, development and research are analysed by means of case studies. Responsibilities of these work units, and relationships between them, are defined in a way which lays the basis for effective organisation and teamwork.

Copyright Social Organisation Limited 1970 Published 1960 Updated May 1970

SBN 85192 002 0

INDEX Page 3 Management Teamwork Cases 4 Design Planning 5 Development 5 8 Discussion MTW Requirements and Definitions 11 MTW Organisation: Design and Research Chemical Plant Design Group 13 13 Research Department

References

15

MANAGEMENT TEAMWORK (MTW)

One of the main problems facing management today is that of obtaining effective team-work. Work tasks change in size and scope and fresh problems are continually arising from misunderstood functional relationships and from a division of work which is not functional. A process of working-through these problems can be applied which is described and illustrated by case studies. The cause of the difficulty is established, not in order to attach blame, but to ensure that the cause is removed. The organisation is then altered or functional relationships are defined, by policy decision, thus resolving the difficulty.

The unexpected request for immediate action in connection with the occasional emergency is made. A system of work planning assists in assessing whether this work can be done without serious delay to other work and also assists in deciding priorities. In addition, and in each case, the cause of the difficulty is sought through successively higher levels of management until it is clearly established. Executives have failed to work together, or there exists some other management problem.

For an adequate solution three requirements have to be satisfied:

- (1) the organisation has to be divided into functional work units;
- (2) line relationships have to be defined; and
- (3) functional relationships have to be defined.

The process of working-through is not easy to carry out, but the results obtained by doing so are of value. Each policy decision is recorded and published within the organisation, so that there emerges an ever-clearer picture of the organisation and of the responsibilities of its constituent work units, while efficiency of organisation increases and teamwork becomes more effective.

CASES

Design

In process plant contracting, where cost, delivery and plant performance matter, difficulties were experienced between the design groups and other work units. The following serves as an example. A particular plant contains a by-pass line from a high-pressure to a low-pressure gas main. The by-pass, which contains an automatic control valve, safeguards a gasholder. During commissioning the chemical engineer finds that the by-passing system has insufficient capacity for its purpose, so that it has to be redesigned and modified. This constitutes an "immediate action" request. Not only is the necessary work done, but the matter is investigated.

The procedure that was followed was that the chemical engineer specified fluid flowrates, pressures, temperature and permissible pressure drop across the control valve. The instrument engineer's specification for a suitable control valve was accepted. The mechanical engineer determined line sizes, both upstream and downstream of the control valve, and the layout. The chemical engineer, in turn, approved the drawing.

The capacity of the by-passing system is restricted not by the control valve, but by the pipelines upstream and downstream of the valve, the lines being too small. The chemical engineer, while examining the drawing, had compared the by-passing arrangement with his flowsheet and, as these line sizes had been determined within another group, he had not checked them.

It thus becomes apparent that two design groups are, in this instance, doing overlapping work. Both Chemical Plant Design Group and Mechanical Design Group are specifying pipe sizes, and it is not clear where one group's work stops and that of the other starts. In this case it can be decided that, as Chemical Plant Design Group are responsible for satisfactory performance, they also have to be responsible for specifying all pipeline sizes. And thus the cause of the "immediate action" request is established and removed. Difficulties of the type illustrated by this example require a policy decision at the level of the Technical Department's manager.

Planning

Another example is the sudden requirement for the performance testing of a small plant, at a contractor's own works, within a week. Say that a delay of two months had occurred between receipt of order by Sales Department and issue of timing chart by Planning Department. Delivery of the plant may have been promised nine months from receipt of order and the initial delay had already caused dislocation of work. The policy of working-through and resolving each request for immediate action had not been instituted and the matter had not been investigated. Furthermore, Chemical Plant Design Group had been unaware that performance testing of this plant was imminent. It was decided to take up both instances.

The lesson learned is that this group's work takes place both at the beginning and at the end of a contract. To enable it to plan its work, early notification of receipt of order and of overall timing plan are required. Also, this group's overall timing plan has to be kept up to date by Planning Department, so that the group can adjust its work plan in accordance with progress made by other work units.

A difficulty of the type just illustrated requires a policy decision at a level higher than that of the Technical Department's manager.

A further example of a request for immediate action is the requirement to start work immediately on a contract the moment the group is notified that the order has been received. This is usually caused by delay between receipt of the order and notification to the group; and/or by an insufficient time allowance for the planning of the work in the estimate of delivery period. However, such a request for immediate action may also be the result of a policy decision to quote the shortest possible delivery time to obtain the order. Assuming the group to be fully loaded, then the initial policy decision results in further policy decisions being required when the order is received, to decide relative priorities and permissible delay to other work.

Development

Difficulties can arise between a Chemical Plant Design Group and a Development Department. The following are typical examples: (1) The design engineer, in Chemical Plant Design Group, is responsible for work on a particular type of unit process plant. He may be approached by a development engineer with the request for information on how he designs his plants. Detailed information is requested to enable the development engineer to design a plant of this type which will form part of a plant at present being designed by Development Department. The design engineer refuses to give this information, reporting his action to his executive superior.

The design engineer considers that to give comprehensive information on his own methods and experience will involve a considerable effort on his part. Indeed, he will not be able to pass on his accumulated experience and he is, indirectly, being asked to supply a few factors and coefficients for the development engineer to use. As design engineer he is being given insufficient data to carry out the design and the development engineer for his part will have insufficient practical experience to use critically any information given to him. Should Development Department's plant fail to achieve specified performance which in his opinion is a distinct possibility, then he, the design engineer, may be held responsible.

The development engineer considers that as this unit process plant is part of a complete new process plant in course of development, it has to be designed by Development Department, as they are responsible for the success or otherwise of the plant as a whole.

- (2) For an inquiry two specifications can be received by a Commercial Department for the same unit process plant, one originating from Chemical Plant Design Group, the other from Development Department. The Chief Chemical Engineer considers this to be a straightforward inquiry; the Chief Development Engineer considers that as no plant of this large size has been built before it clearly constitutes development work.
- (3) A design engineer, on contacting the supplier of a certain type of specialised equipment, finds that a quotation is being prepared by this supplier for Development Department. But Chemical Plant Design Group, acting for the organisation as a whole, have some considerable time ago negotiated a favourable agreement with this supplier, which results in considerably reduced costs with consequent improvement

in the competitive position. Development Department are unaware of the existence of this agreement and have phrased their inquiry in such a way that no benefit is obtained from the agreement.

DISCUSSION

The head of the Chemical Plant Design Group is the Chief Chemical Engineer, and he is responsible for chemical engineering design, for commissioning and proving. The Development Department's manager, the Chief Development Engineer, is responsible for the development of new processes, of new design methods and of new plants. In addition, there is the Research Department, engaged on pure research. Each of these three work units carries out chemical engineering design for inquiries and contracts. Which unit does the work depends on the degree of novelty.

Considering the Chemical Plant Design Group and the Development Department, it may not be clear where the work of one group ends and where that of the other starts. Sometimes the engineers in the two groups may be attempting to do the same work and consequently a large amount of work is duplicated. There may exist a decided lack of communication between the two groups, with the result that one group derives little benefit from the work of the other. However, plants designed by Development Department generally contain some parts designed by Chemical Plant Design Group, so that the two groups appear to work together in harmony. In fact, they may be competing against each other for work, a struggle for ascendancy taking place between them. Such conflict is detrimental to the organisation as a whole.

It appears that the work to be done by each group has not been stated clearly, so that responsibility for work cannot be assigned to one or other of the groups. Functional relationships also have not been clearly defined; this being another reason why responsibility cannot be assigned clearly.

The organisation's task, as far as this analysis is concerned, is to provide chemical plants for customers. To carry out this task, the work is divided. Development Department is charged with developing new processes and design groups are charged with designing plants. As organisation, to be effective, has to be functional, all design work has to be done by the design groups and all development work by Development Department.

A design group may require development work to be carried out in connection with a design method or in connection with basic data required for design. This should

be done by Development Department, at design group's request, for the design group. Development Department may require design work to be carried out in connection with a development project. This should then be done by the design group for Development Department, at the request of Development Department.

However, this procedure still leaves room for doubt about the division of work between the two groups. clear at what stage any particular process ceases to be a development matter, to be dealt with from then on by Chemical Plant Design Group. Neither is it clear just who is responsible for the performance of a pilot or prototype plant. However, as Development Department is charged with developing new processes - this being a direct contribution to the organisation's task - they have to be responsible for such work. It follows that as long as a plant is required by Development Department to enable it to develop a new process, the plant thus being paid for out of their development budget, they are responsible. If a plant is required for production purposes, being paid for by a customer, Chemical Plant Design Group is responsible. as a pilot plant's purpose is to develop or prove a process, and as it is paid for from Development Department's budget, Development Department is responsible, design work being done for them by the design group. A prototype plant is a production model, paid for by a customer; Chemical Plant Design Group is responsible, development work being done for them by Development Department. Development Department is responsible for pilot plants; Chemical Plant Design Group for prototype plants.

It is further clear that Development Department would not be charged with developing a new process were the relevant information already available to the organisation and, similarly, a design group would not ask for development work to be carried out on basic data or design methods, should the information be available already. It follows that the function that has been assigned to Development Department is, in fact, the search into the unknown; that is, research.

If a design group is responsible for designing, it is responsible also for the quality of its design work. For example, the Chief Chemical Engineer is responsible for chemical engineering design, for commissioning and proving, for satisfactory operation and performance of the plants designed by his group. He is therefore responsible also for comparing actual results with predicted results and for correlating conclusions so as to improve future designs. It is this process of comparison, correlation and improvement which is called development.

At this point of the analysis, one may consider also the relations between Development Department and Research Department. Conflict may exist between these two work units also, Development Department attempting to take over research work. Similar conflict may exist also between Development Department and Mechanical Design Group.

The picture that may then emerge is that of a large work unit, namely Development Department, without real function, trying to find a purposeful existence and satisfying work, doing this by attempting to take over work from surrounding groups and thus coming into conflict with them. The overall picture would then be of an organisation under internal stress over an appreciable area of primary activity.

MTW REQUIREMENTS AND DEFINITIONS

To ensure effective team-work between work units, the division of work between them has to be clearly stated and functional relationships have to be defined.

In an effective organisation each work unit has to be responsible for, and carry out, a separate specialist function essential to the carrying out of the organisation's task. In the case of the chemical plant contractor, the organisation's task is to provide chemical plants and this task may be subdivided functionally into "direct" and "indirect" work tasks.

A direct work task is one which is directly concerned with the carrying out of the work of the organisation as a whole, examples being the designing of a plant for a customer, or research into new processes so as to extend the range of plants offered to customers.

The executive responsible for carrying out a direct work task is the "responsible" executive.

An indirect work task is one which is indirectly concerned with the work of the organisation as a whole. Examples are the work done by a personnel department or that done by an executive in one work unit who is providing a specialist service for the "responsible" executive in another work unit.

The executive responsible for carrying out an indirect work task acts as specialist adviser; that is, he is the "prescribing" executive.

Relationships between executives in different work units are functional relationships. Of the two executives concerned, one is "responsible", the other "prescribes". The responsible executive is fully responsible to his executive superior alone for obtaining specialist advice, for accepting or rejecting this, and for reporting useful results back to the prescribing executive. The prescribing executive is fully responsible to his executive superior alone for giving specialist advice and for the quality of his prescription.

The same executive may be responsible for the carrying out of both direct and indirect work tasks. Where this is not clearly seen, and when the difference between the two types of tasks is not understood, then difficulties may be expected. It is important, therefore, that the type of task be clearly realised, in each case, by the executive who is carrying it out.

MTW ORGANISATION: DESIGN AND RESEARCH

To ensure effective teamwork between Research Department and Chemical Plant Design Group, their responsibilities, and the functional relationships between them, can be defined as follows:

Chemical Plant Design Group

The head of the Chemical Plant Design Group is entitled Chief Chemical Engineer. He is responsible for chemical engineering design and development, for commissioning and proving, for satisfactory performance and operation of the chemical plants designed by chemical engineers working in this group. By development is meant the comparing of actual with predicted results and the correlating of the conclusions so as to improve the quality of the group's work. All chemical engineering design work that requires to be done within the organisation is carried out by chemical engineers working in this group. Their work consists of both direct and indirect work tasks. Their direct tasks consist of chemical engineering work on plants for customers; their indirect tasks consist of carrying out similar work for the organisation's other work units.

Whilst carrying out a direct work task, the chemical engineer is the responsible executive. Whilst carrying out an indirect work task he is the prescribing executive.

Research Department

The head of the Research Department is entitled Research Manager. He is responsible for effectively searching for new processes. All research work that requires to be done within the organisation is carried out by scientists working in this department. Their work consists of both direct and indirect work tasks. Their direct tasks consist of searching for, and evaluating, new processes. Their indirect tasks consist of carrying out research work for the organisation's other work units.

Whilst carrying out a direct work task, the scientist is the responsible executive. Whilst carrying out an indirect work task, he is the prescribing executive.

It is seen that problems are resolved by a process of working-through, which is followed by defining responsibilities and relationships in a way which lays the basis for effective organisation and teamwork.

REFERENCES

Davidmann, M. "Solving Problems in Organising Chemical Plant Projects." Social Organisation Ltd., 63s (£3.15).



60, DRAYTON GARDENS, LONDON, N.21
TELEPHONE: 01-360 7306

PUBLICATIONS

SALARY ADMINISTRATION AND MANPOWER PLANNING

Describes the basis of salary administration and manpower planning technique SAMP and its use for estimating annual salary changes, for reducing excessive staff turnover, and for estimating how the worth of a job changes in time.

Salary structure and administration; Manpower planning for continuity of experience, succession and promotion; Evaluating the rate for the man; Motivating; Assessing training requirements.

42s. (£2·10)

THE EFFECTIVE BOARD: A STUDY OF THE WORK AND REMUNERATION OF DIRECTORS

Based on our 1968 survey, it shows how remuneration depends on age and on individual success, and the most common combinations of fringe benefits. It shows the extent and degree to which directors share in company results and indicates how annual increments can be estimated.

Remuneration; Rate for job; Rate for man; National Remuneration Scale; Remuneration pattern in U.K.; Performance of the board.

84s. (£4·20)

MANAGEMENT TEAMWORK: DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

Management finds itself more and more concerned with problems of organisation and teamwork. Such problems need to be tackled consistently and this report shows how organisation can be made more effective, how to lay the basis for sound teamwork. It is illustrated with reference to process plant contracting where many specialists have to work together, where cost, delivery and plant performance matter.

Defines requirements for effective organisation; Analyses functions of design, development and research; Case studies; Describes and illustrates the MTW (Management Teamwork) technique, including working-through problems to the solution; Defines responsibilities and relationships between these work units in a way which provides the basis for effective organisation and teamwork.

30s. (£1-50)

SOLVING PROBLEMS IN ORGANISING CHEMICAL PLANT PROJECTS

The main problems lie in the fields of organisation and teamwork. Effective co-operation between many specialists is required and this needs effective organisation.

Examines the problems; Requirements for effective organisation; How to resolve difficulties in organisation; The application of the MTW (Management Teamwork) technique is illustrated by showing how to resolve difficulties in cost control and timing.

63s. (£3·15)

THE CHEMICAL PLANT CONTRACTOR AND THE PLANT USER

A comprehensive and detailed survey of the relationships and problems between them, as used by the Ministry of Technology's Expert Committee.

Analyses organisation structure of different organisations; Discusses the many ways in which they can work together; Discusses the release of commercially valuable information between the parties.

£10 (£10.00)



60. DRAYTON GARDENS, LONDON, N.21
TELEPHONE: 01-360 7306

for

INCREASING PRODUCTIVITY

Reducing costs and staff turnover.

Increasing resource utilization and organisational efficiency.

Motivation.

COMPANY DEVELOPMENT

Setting short and long term objectives.

Finding most effective strategy.

Producing a definite plan, optimizing use of resources, to achieve objectives.

SOLVING MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS

Locating basic causes.

Initiating and directing introduction of practical solutions.

Work with you to whatever stage you wish, until your objectives have been achieved.

Provide consultancy services at fees which are reasonable and competitive, depending on type of problem and skill required.